

Sustainable Mountain Development Series

Safer lives and livelihoods in mountains

Making the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction work for sustainable mountain development

Publisher:

Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern, with Bern Open Publishing (BOP) Hallerstrasse 10, CH-3012 Bern, Switzerland www.cde.unibe.ch publications@cde.unibe.ch

© 2017 The Authors

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) Licence. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/ to view a copy of the licence. The publisher and the authors encourage the use, reproduction and dissemination of material in this information product. Contents may be copied, downloaded and printed for private study, research and teaching purposes, or for use in non-commercial products or services, provided that the original authors and source are properly acknowledged and cited and that the original authors' endorsement of users' views, products or services is not implied in any way. Permission for commercial use of any contents must be obtained from the original authors of the relevant contents.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this information product do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the publisher and partners concerning the legal or development status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The mention of specific companies or products of manufacturers, whether or not these have been patented, does not imply that these have been endorsed or recommended by the institutions mentioned in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. The views expressed in this information product are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the institutions mentioned.

This publication was supported by the Austrian Development Cooperation and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation.

Citation:

Wymann von Dach, S., Bachmann, F., Alcántara-Ayala, I., Fuchs, S., Keiler, M., Mishra, A. & Sötz, E., eds. 2017. *Safer lives and livelihoods in mountains: Making the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction work for sustainable mountain development*. Bern, Switzerland, Centre for Development and Environment (CDE), University of Bern, with Bern Open Publishing (BOP). 78 pp.

DOI: 10.7892/boris.99068 ISBN (print): 978-3-906813-35-6 ISBN (e-print): 978-3-906813-36-3

Editors: Susanne Wymann von Dach (CDE), Felicitas Bachmann (CDE), Irasema Alcántara-Ayala (UNAM), Sven Fuchs (BOKU), Margreth Keiler (GIUB), Arabinda Mishra (ICIMOD), Elisabeth Sötz (WWF, Austria)

Authors and members of sounding board: see list on pp. 70–72

Concept: CDE, SDC and ADA, with contributions by BOKU, ICIMOD, GIUB, UNAM and WWF, Austria

Design: Simone Kummer (CDE)

Language editing: Tina Hirschbuehl and Marlène Thibault (CDE)

Proofreading: Stefan Zach (z.a.ch GmbH)

Printing: Werner Druck & Medien AG, Basel, Switzerland

The e-print is available at: www.cde.unibe.ch www.sdc.admin.ch www.mountainpartnership.org

Cover photo: Goods had to be transported by boat after the Atta Abad landslide flooded 25 km of the Karakoram Highway, Pakistan, in 2010 (D. Butz)

From analysing geohazards to managing georisks



A combination of seismic, volcanic and landslide hazards threatens the densely populated, mountainous area around Lake Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo. This is further compounded by low scientific expertise and a dearth of geophysical and socio-economic data. Since 2012, the GeoRisCA project has analysed the geohazards and people's vulnerability, resulting in the first georisk assessment of the region. This € 1 million project funded by the Belgian Ministry of Research involves researchers from Belgium and Luxembourg, as well as local scientists.

The project focuses on the cities of Goma and Bukavu, which have populations of 670 000 and 750 000 respectively. In 2002, during the last eruption of the Nyiragongo volcano, 10 percent of Goma was destroyed by lava flows [1], causing long-term socio-economic impacts with about 120 000 homeless people and 50–150 fatalities. At the southern tip of Lake Kivu, Bukavu is built on steep and unconsolidated slopes, making it prone to landslides. These events, sometimes fatal, have dramatic impacts on infrastructure, with severe economic and/or sanitation-related consequences. In addition, human-induced environmental change (deforestation, urbanization) is linked to recent landslides [2].

By developing local risk maps as decision-support tools for the local authorities, GeoRisCA aims at improving disaster prevention, helping to reduce volcanic and landslide risk, and promoting long-term urban planning. The lack of accurate and reliable data was a main challenge and was addressed by an interdisciplinary approach combining satellite data, household surveys, intensive fieldwork and ground-based geophysical measurements. This resulted in an in-depth understanding of the spatiotemporal characteristics of the hazard risks [3, 4] and the socio-economic





situation, urban dynamics and people's risk perception. These latter aspects play a key role in the risk assessment equation: To assess the potential impacts of a risk, it is essential to understand the community and environment in which a hazard occurs.

Moreover, throughout the research process, local scientists, the Institute of Statistics, the Civil Protection, city and provincial authorities and non-governmental organizations were involved in numerous discussions and field activities to ensure the most appropriate vulnerability and risk assessment [5] (Box 1). In doing so, the project has strengthened the mandate and action of the Civil Protection in three ways: (1) by emphasizing the crucial role of this provincial institution in charge of disaster prevention and risk management, (2) by initiating collaboration with local scientists, whose knowledge regarding georisks was reinforced throughout GeoRisCA, and (3) through financial support of the Civil Protection, as the political authorities are now aware of the natural hazards issue.

Finally, the project provides the stakeholders with administrative, hazard, vulnerability and risk maps, as well as with robust data sets and methodologies. And it has raised issues, such as capacity building and strengthening of monitoring techniques and networks (ground- and space-based), which are today addressed and implemented in new projects.

BOX 1 I Understanding people's vulnerability

A local vulnerability index that considers the specific characteristics of a community and its environment increases the relevance, acceptance and effectiveness of disaster risk reduction (DRR) policies, particularly in times of local political unrest and severe poverty. Vulnerability assessment in the GeoRisCA project comprised the following steps:

- Semi-structured interviews with local institutions to understand their perception of vulnerability and their policies related to risk reduction.
- Working-group discussion based on an expert survey to agree on a local definition of vulnerability and define its relevant parameters.
- Household demographic survey to complement data scarcity, or data that are
 of limited quality, and develop spatial data layers on people's vulnerability.
- Involvement of the local scientists and DRR managers throughout the process, for an effective use of methods and results, and effective implementation of DRR strategies.

Lessons learned

- Strengthening community resilience requires efficient and effective communication between scientists, civil authorities and the communities themselves.
- Modern technologies coupled with extensive fieldwork enable understanding and monitoring of socio-economic and hazard dynamics, and allow for risk-sensitive urban planning.
- Reducing the divide between scientific research and development cooperation is crucial to promoting understanding between the two sectors, thus enabling them to take their respective constraints into account for effective disaster risk reduction.
- Political unrest makes it more likely that low priority will be given to natural hazards.



